

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,060	SAKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Davis.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2004
Time: 1035
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: This interview is following examiner's statement of reasons for allowance, which indicated that the limitation of claim 10 was incorporated into claim 1, now allowed. This interview summary further clarifies that the limitation of 10 and 11 are both incorporated into claim 1. This interview does not change the status of the present invention.